INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

Defense' Witnesses	Page
MINAMI, Jiro (resumed)	19921
Cross by Mr. Comyns Carr (cont'd)	19921
MORNING RECESS	19940
Cross by Mr. Comyns Carr (contid)	19941
NOON RECESS	19956
Cross by Mr. Comyns Carr (contid)	19957
AFTERNOON RECESS	19980
Cross by Mr. Comyns Carr (cont'd)	19981

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

	Doc.	Def.	Pros.	Description	Ident.	Evidence
	2292	2436		Photostatic copy of a Letter written by MINAMI, Jiro, Deputy C lef of the Army	•	
9				General Staff, dated 6		19943

1	Tuesday, 15 April 1947
2	
3	
4	INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST
5	Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building
6	Tekyo, Japan
7	
8	The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment,
9	at 0930.
10	
11	Appearances:
12	For the Tribunal, same as before.
13	For the Prosecution Section, same as before.
14	For the Defense Section, same as before.
15	
16	(English to Japanese and Japanese
17	to English interpretation was made by the
18	Language Section, IMTFE.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: All the accused are present except the accused TOGO, who is represented by his counsel. The prison surgeon of Sugamo certifies that the accused TOGO is too ill to attend the trial today. This certificate will be recorded and filed.

Mr. Comyns Carr.

JIRO MINAMI, one of the :: cused, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. COMYNS CARR (Continued):

Q General MINAMI, before I proceed with the question I was asking you last night: Do you agree with what your co-accused ARAKI told us in exhibit 2216 that forces canno be sent overseas without the consent of the War, Navy, Finance, and Foreign Ministers a.c. the Premier?

A Yes, I do.

Q Now, on the 3rd of January, 1932, Chinchow was occupied. Did you point out to your successor that this was, as you say, contrary to the orders that had beer given when you were War Minister?

A I was in Manchuria on an inspection and I didn't tell ARAKI about this matter of the occupation of Chinchow. I believe this occupation was

3

4

6

8

7

9

11

13 14

15

17

16

18

20

21 22

24

25

necessitated by the state of the enemy, but this was utterly contrary to my own policy.

O Did you tell HONJO that it was contrary to the orders you had given?

A At that time I was already -- I had already left my post, so that I had no say in this matter. However, when I was still War Minister, strict orders for a retreat from that area were issued. These orders were issued by the Chief of the General Staff after I had communicated the government's desire in this respect to the Chief of the General Staff.

- Q But you were in Manchuria, you say, within three weeks after you had left ce and found these orders being disobeyed?
 - A Yes, that is so.
 - o Tho sent you on this tour of inspection?
- A I went to Manchuria on orders from War Minister ARAKI.
- Mas disobeying orders you had given or whether he had got fresh ones from ARAKI?
 - A No, I did not.
 - o "hy not?

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

A I believed that it was not proper for me as a member of the former government to touch upon matters such as these when the government had already changed and the policies also had changed.

CROSS

O Did you know whether the new government had given orders for this to be done?

A I do not know what orders were issued. I simply know of the fact that Chin Chow was occupied.

O When you got home did you make a report to General ARAKI?

A Yes, I did.

Did you report to him that Chin Chow had been occupied contrary to the orders which you had given?

A I did tell him that the occupation of Chin Chow was contrary to the policies which I had set up during my tenure of office.

o What did he say to that?

A All he did was to listen.

O Well, now, I asked you whether in addition to listening to lectures as a Supreme War Councillor you had given any, and you said "No." I now want to read to you exhibit 2251, which is an extract from

l'arquis KIDO's diary of the 28th of January, 1932. It is prosecution document 1632-"-3.

"January 28th, 1932.

"At 2:00 p. m. today, General MINAMI delivered a lecture on the latest situation in Manchuria before the Emperor in the Palace. I had the honor to attend the lecture in the Imperial presence." 'I' is Marquis KIDO.

"General MINAMI told us of his impressions resulting from his inspection of the general situation there. He explained that the brave action of our Japanese troops lay in the Japanese people's overall assistance to them, their firm belief in victory, and their confidence in their own military skill.

"His conclusions regarding the Manchuria-Mongolia question:

"I. In the matter of our national defence,

Japan's relation to the new state and its adminis
tration will be to take over its defence and by ex
pediting the completion of the Kirin-Kwainei Railway,

make the Sea of Japan into a lake and facilitate

Japan's advance into the North Manchuria area.

Thus our national defence would be renewed.

.

"II. Japan-Manchuria Joint Management To take concrete measures against economic blockade from abroad, thereby firmly establishing a method
by which Japan can live forever as a power in the
world.

"III. Solution of the Population Problem It is not so difficult to solve the population problem provided that we give our emigrants in Manchuria
the same protection as that which they receive in
South America, and provided that we establish a colonial trooping system there."

THE MONITOR: Is that all, Mr. Carr? MR. COMYNS CARR: It has been read, yes Now did you deliver that lecture as Marquis 3 KIDO records? THE MOI ITOR: Just a moment, Mr. Carr. We will have to read the Japanese version first. MR. COMYNS CARR: Yes, that is what I thought. 9 THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal expects simul-10 taneous readings in such matters. 11 THE MONITOR: I am sorry, Mr. President. 12 We didn't know that the whole of the document was 13 going to be read. 14 Now did you deliver that lecture? 15 A That lecture is truth as I said. 16 Then why did you tell me yesterday that you 17 did not deliver any lectures? 18 MR. BROOKS: If your Honor please, if the 19 Tribunal please, the record will show the counsellor 20 asked if he delivered any lectures as War Councillor. 21 I think the document speaks for itself. This was 22 not a lecture before the War Council. THE PRESIDENT: You have a right to re-examine,

Captain Brooks. That should be sufficient.

Q	General MINAMI, is that your explanation or
only your	counsel's?
A .	That would be my own explanation:
Q :	Then we are to understand, are we, that when
	ou about lectures yesterday you knew
	well that you delivered this one, but
	'no" because it was not delivered in the
	of a Supreme War Councillor?
1	R. BROOKS: I object to that question;
	, as being argumentative. If the counsel
	ask for a general answer, he should ask
	type of question that calls for a general
	His own question is what caused the answer:
	THE PRESIDENT: We think these interruptions
are uncall	
T	HE INTERPRETER: The witness answered "yes"
to the pre	vious question.
N	R. COMYNS CARR: I think he wants to add
something.	
Q D	o you, General MINAMI?
	es. I would like to add a few words.
	parties were a kind of discussion group

and were not official affairs. Therefore, various --

the people that came to these parties would exchange

their opinions on various subjects. I believe that

what was written in Marquis KIDO's diary is true.

However, it needs an explanation no doubt; that is,

I was -- I attended this discussion group not as
a Supreme War Councillor but as a private individual,
and I do not think that you can call it a formal
lecture. I would like to add a short explanation
on the topics mentioned in that talk.

Q I think you had better wait until I ask
you some questions about them for that. But about
the lecture --

MR. BROOKS: May the witness be allowed to explain the matter raised by the counsel, please?

THE PRESIDENT: He did not claim any right to explain anything, if I heard him correctly. He wanted to add something which may not have been by way of explanation. We are wholly unimpressed by this attitude of Captain Brooks.

MR. BROOKS: If your Honor please, I am not trying to impress the Court or impress anyone but to get this man a fair trial and let him be fully heard when something is raised against him; and he did ask to be heard.

THE PRESIDENT: You are obstructing the trial. You are preventing a fair trial by your attitude. We are not going to allow this Court to

what was written in Marquis KIDO's diary is true.

However, it needs an explanation no doubt; that is,

I was -- I attended this discussion group not as
a Supreme War Councillor but as a private individual,
and I do not think that you can call it a formal
lecture. I would like to add a short explanation
on the topics mentioned in that talk.

Q I think you had better wait until I ask
you some questions about them for that. But about
the lecture --

MR. BROOKS: May the witness be allowed to explain the matter raised by the counsel, please?

THE PRESIDENT: He did not claim any right to explain anything, if I heard him correctly. He wanted to add something which may not have been by way of explanation. We are wholly unimpressed by this attitude of Captain Brooks.

MR. BROOKS: If your Honor please, I am not trying to impress the Court or impress anyone but to get this man a fair trial and let him be fully heard when something is raised against him; and he did ask to be heard.

THE PRESIDENT: You are obstructing the trial. You are preventing a fair trial by your attitude. We are not going to allow this Court to

(

be used for propaganda purposes.

MR. BROOKS: If the Court please, I think as defense counsel I have a right to an explanation from the Bench for such a remark cast on American counsel. I am sure I have not tried to do anything that was not proper in this Court and I have not tried to use propaganda in any way; and as I understand, the Court is reflecting that I am trying to say something for propaganda purposes and it is not true. I sincerely wish to be heard on any expression that you may have in your mind. If you have anything that I have said that you think is propaganda, I would like for you to express it so that I can avoid it if it is necessary.

THE PRESIDENT: Propaganda means propaganda.

Nothing I said is in doubt. American counsel as such have no terrors for us nor have any other nation's counsel. We are here to conduct a fair trial, and we are not going to be browbeaten by American Counsel or any other counsel.

MR. BROOKS: I am sorry if I have been browbeating the Court. I did not realize it, if that is true, your Honor.

be used for propaganda purposes.

MR. BROOKS: If the Court please, I thank as defense counsel I have a right to an explanation from the Bench for such a remark cast or American counsel. I am sure I have not tried to do anything that was not proper in this Court and I have not tried to use propaganda in any way; and as I understand, the Court is reflecting that I am trying to say something for propaganda purposes and it is not true. I sincerely wish to be heard on any expression that you may have in your mind. If you have anything that I have said that you think is propaganda, I would like for you to express it so that I can avoid it if it is necessary.

THE PRESIDENT: Propaganda means propaganda.

Nothing I said is in doubt. American counsel as such have no terrors for us nor any other nation's counsel. We are here to conduct a fair trial, and we are not going to be browbeaten by American counsel or any other counsel.

MR. BROOKS: I am sorry if I have been browbeating the Court. I did not realize it, if that is true, your Honor.

Morse & Wolf

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Carr.
BY MR. COMYNS CARR (Continued):

Q General MINAMI, Marquis KIDO describes this as a lecture in the Imperial presence. Do you seriously say that it was only an informal discussion at a tea party?

A Yes, I do.

THE PRESIDENT: Did your position as Supreme War Councillor explain your presence before the Emperor to deliver a lecture on that subject?

THE WITNESS: May I explain? This is the way the matter stood: If I had given a formal lecture the Emperor would not have attended such a gathering. When the Emperor holds a tea party and we are given tea and cakes that is not a formal affair.

Correction on the previous statement: If I had delivered a formal lecture before the Emperor there ought not to have been any other attendants. Therefore, this talk was not given in my official capacity as Supreme War Councillor at all. At this party an informal exchange of opinions was held.

Q General MINAMI, do you remember being interrogated about this lecture on the 18th of March 1946?

A Yes, I do.

17 18

19

20

21

23

24

Q Let me read you the question and answer.

"In January 1932 you delivered an address in which you advocated further advance in Manchuria."

A I have been repeating and repeating since -for the past few minutes that I did not deliver
any lecture.

Q The words used on this occasion were "An address" and it was not then suggested to you that you delivered it in any particular capacity or to any particular person. The question went on "You advocated early completion of the Kirin-Kwainei Railway and advocated making the Japanese sea a Japanese lake and facilitating the advance in North Manchuria, did you not?"

A There is a premise to that which is if Manchuria at the time I inspected it could have been granted independence.

Q General MINAMI, I am asking you at the moment whether you remember being asked that question when you were interrogated?

A I do not remember.

Q Did you reply, "I do not recall such an address or making such statements."?

A Yes; as you say.

Q Well now, I want to ask you some questions

11

10

2

3

5

6

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

about Marquis KIDO's report. According to him you said Japan's relation to the new state and its administration will be to take over its defense.

On the 28th of January 1932 was there any new state?

A No. This discussion meeting was held immediately upon my return to Japan from my inspection of Manchuria, and, after I had actually seen conditions in Manchuria, I found that Manchuria was far more advanced than I had supposed when I was in Tokyo. Therefore, of course, I did not know how things were developed in Manchuria; but, if Manchuria did become an independent state at that time, that is the premise that must be made.

Q You know that it wasn't until the 18th of February that the first declaration of independence in the name of Manchuria was issued; don't you?

A Yes.

Q And you told us yesterday that it was your policy to prevent the Japanese army having anything to do with forming any new state.

A Yes, I did.

Q Fow do you reconcile that with what you are telling the Emperor?

A On my inspection tour off Manchuria I met

and talked with various people and there learned that influential leaders in various parts of Manchuria suchas Kirin, Harbin and Taonan were in favor of establishing the independence of Manchuria.

When I went, of course, I did not know how things would develop in Manchuria so I had no idea that Manchuria would become an independent state. When I met HONJO I told him once again, as I had already done in orders sent previously, that the army must not be involved in the independence movement at all. Therefore, there is no inconsistency in what I said.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

ho introduced you to these influencial leaders that you are telling us about? Some came to see me; some I heard about. Q From whom? Such leaders as Hsi Hsia, Sun Chi-chan, Tsang Shih-i and Hsieh Chieh-shih either came to see me or I interviewed them. I asked you from whom you heard about the others. A From various people. Q People occupying what sort of positions? I am afraid I don't remember. I think the best thing to say would be from various people. Isn't the truth that so far as you heard about them at all it was from officers of the Kwantung Army? A No, not only from them. The officers of the Kwantung Army from whom I heard this were such people as Commander in Chief HONJO, influencial members of his staff and commanders of the independent garrison forces and their number was very limited. I believe I also met Consul-General HAYASHI. Q Did Consul-General HAYASHI tell you that there was a genuine independence movement in Manchuria?

A: He said that various quarters were talking

about such things. He said that he had heard of such

things from various quarters.

Q Did he say that he had heard that the Kwantung Army was trying to foment such a thing?

A No, he did not.

Q Now, then, I think that is enough of that. What do you mean by making the Sea of Japan into a lake?

A This is my own opinion and I touched on it slightly last Friday. I have always been an advocate of peaceful relations with the Soviet Union. This is a conviction that I have had from long ago. It was my hope that the Japan Sea, that the waters of the Japan Sea would always be as calm as those of a lake. That was the most important thing and for that I believed in maintaining peaceful relations with the Soviet Union. This would be important -- this so a would be important not only in joining Japan and Korea but also in maintaining peaceful relations with the Soviet Union. My prosoviet policy was opposed to quite an extent not only by the army but also by the people at large -- by statesmen at large.

Q Isn't there a very much simpler answer to my question than that? Didn't you mean that in the future, owing to the occupation of Manchuria, Japan

soy beans.

would	control both sides of the Sea of Japan?
A	That is not so. Such funny opinions are
entire	ly opposed to my fundamental ideas.
Q	In which direction does this Kirin-Kweinei
Railwa	y run? Towards the Russian frontier or away
from i	t?
	THE PRESIDENT: The answer is both ways.
A	The Kirin-Kwainei Railway is the railway
that g	oes from Kirin in Manchuria to Kwainei in Kores.
Q	Yes, and passes close to the Russian frontier,
doesn'	t it?
A	No, for more to the south.
Q	"hat did you mean by facilitating Japan's
advance	e in the North Manchuria area?
A	That I meant was that by the opening of the
Kirin-	Kwainei Railway the transportation of goods to
and fr	om Manchuria would be to and from North
Manchu	ria would be greatly facilitated just as the
South	dencturia Railway aids in transporting goods
to end	from South Manchuria.
Q	What's ert of goods?

Products grown in those areas. At that time

one of the greatest products was grain and especially

G 1 r 2 e 3 b 4 r 5 & 6 B 7 a 8 r 9 n 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Have you forgotten that you went on to say, "Thus our national defense plans would be revolutionized."?

A What I megnt there was that, by the establishment of the Kirin-Kainei Railroad, the stability of Manchuria would be ensured.

THE MONITOR: Thus contributing to the national defense of Japan.

Q Against whom were you particularly thinking of national defense in this matter?

A Against an enemy.

Q Which enemy?

A Any enemy which would try to attack Japan or Manchuria.

Q Which one did you think was likely?

A By "defense" I meant defense against any possible enemies and the establishment of defense in the event of an invasion. Needless to say, such a possible enemy would be the Soviet Union.

Q Yes.

A That is why I consistently maintained that peaceful relations should be established with the Soviet Union.

Q Now, on Friday I asked you this question, and I want to know whether you still give me the same

 answer that you gave me then. The question was:
"Was not the idea of the Japanese Army that they
would be in a much stronger position in the event
of war with Russia if they were previously in occupation of Manchuria?" You said, "No." Do you still
say "no"?

A The basis of my idea was the stabilization of Manchuria. Therefore, again I must reply to your question, no.

Q Now, in the next paragraph of his report of your lecture, Marquis KIDO gives the heading "Japan-Manchuria Joint Management." Can you tell me why, if this state which didn't yet exist was to be an entirely independent one with which the Kwantung Army was to have nothing to do, it was to take over -- Japan was to take over the new state's defense and to have joint management of the country?

A I believe I replied to that question already, which is that I did not know at the time of
my inspection of Manchuria how things would actually
turn out. All I could see was that Manchuria might
become independent. Everything was on the basis of
presumptions.

Q Wasn't it on the basis of advance information of what was going to happen on the 18th of

February?

A No.

Q Now, in your third paragraph, Marquis KIDO reports you as saying, in connection with the population problem, that it wouldn't be so difficult if, amongst other things, you established a colonial trooping system there. What do you mean by that?

A If Manchuria did become independent, to begin with, it was a country with a very wide area and a rather small population. There was much room for immigration. If immigration did take place, I believed it would be wise to adopt the Chinese system of each hamlet maintaining its own soldiers against bandits, and so forth. And, therefore, I believed that a system such as that you mentioned might be considered.

Q Didn't you mean --

THE MONITOR: Just a moment, please, Mr.

Carr. "System such as colonial soldier system would
be feasible there. That's the way I thought."

Q Didn't you mean that the colonists were to be reservists of the Japanese Army?

- A No, that is not what I meant.
- Q They were, weren't they?
- A No, they were not.

20

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

22

23 24

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Now, you have several times, both on Friday and today, told us that you had always been opposed to war with Russia. Were you Vice Chief of the General Staff from March, 1927 to August, 1929? THE MONITOR: Was it March 1st or just March, 127? 12. COMYNS CAPR: March only. A Yes, I was. Did the General Staff control the military attaches of the various embassies? Yes. Concerning personnel affairs, the Vice Chief of the General Staff had powers. Was it your practice to instruct the military attaches to indulge in espionage and subversive work? I have never indulged in such silly practices. THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes. (Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceed-

ings were resumed as follows:)

23 24

Duda & Whalen

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International
Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.
THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

BY Mk. COMYNS CARR (Continuing):

Q Do you remember a man named KOMATSUBARA, Michitaro?

A Yes, I do.

Q Was he a military attache to the Japanese Embassy in the Soviet Union in 1927?

A I do not know.

MR. BROOKS: If the Tribunal please, I wanted to call to the Court's attention that the prosecution's questions are now going beyond the Indictment, into 1927. I do not think it is proper with the accused on the stand.

THE PRESIDENT: At times we have gone back beyond 1895; in fact, as far back as 1848 at one stage.

MR. BROOKS: The point I was making was that this was not a question on background material as such, but the accused has not been charged for his actions prior to 1928. And it isn't a proper question I think under the Indictment.

THE PRESIDENT: He did state that he was always opposed to war with the Soviet Union. And as a Member of the Court puts it, relevancy is not

deter

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

us.

determined by dates.

The objection is overruled.

Q Would you look at prosecution document 2992, please?

(Whereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

Q Is that a photostatic copy of a letter written by you on the 6th of October 1927?

A I saw it.

Q Does it bear your seal?

A Yes.

copies of it, sir?

MR. COMYNS CARR: I ask that the document be admitted in evidence, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

MR. BROOKS: May the defense be furnished

MR. COMYNS CARR: Yes.

MR. BROOKS: We have not had copies served on

THE PRESIDENT: We order that copies be served on the defense.

MR. BROOKS: It may be I would like to object at a later time.

No. 2992 will receive exhibit No. 2436.

21

23 24

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 2436, and received in evidence.)

MR. COMYNS CARR: "Top Secret Army General Staff-THE PRESIDENT: Apparently the Japanese version is being read.

IR. COMYNS CARR: Yes. I didn't realize that, your Honor. I will now read the English. I presume the Japanese needn't be read again.

(Continuing) "Confidential #2081. Instruction on investigation of special organizations, associations and important individuals who may be used for the gathering of intelligence information, for propaganda and subversive activities. October 6, 1927.

"MINAMI, Jiro, Deputy Chief of the Army General Staff (seal).

"To: KAMATSUBARA, Michitaro, Military Attache at the Japanese Embassy in the USSR.

"I request to gather the most detailed information on special organizations, associations, important individuals, etc., who may be used for collecting intelligence information, for propaganda, and subversive activities in concert with various military operations in each informant's areas, and keep sending this information as soon as it is received, according to the

following points:"

I won't read the rest of it.

Q Now, General MINAMI, why did you tell me that you didn't use the Japanese military attaches for anything so silly as espionage and subversive activities?

A Military attaches are under the direct control of the Chief of the General Staff, and a Vice-Chief of Staff attends to business matters. And the purpose of military attaches is to conduct intelligence activities. I had completely forgotten about this letter until you showed it to me just now. But on looking at it I find that my seal is affixed to it. Therefore, I presume that such a letter must have been issued, and I think that many more such letters must have been issued.

Q But is that an answer to the question why you told me just before the recess that you didn't do anything so silly as to use these attaches for espionage and subversive activities?

A It is, because this was in 1927 and I, as Vice-Chief of the General Staff, merely transmitted orders from the Chief of the General Staff as a routine matter.

It goes without saying that each time the Chief of the General Staff is changed, operational plans and other policies also change. At that time that was the policy.

1

2

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

20 21

19

22

23

24

Isn't the truth that you knew very well that you did use them for those purposes; you didn't know I had got your letter to prove it?

A Maybe so. But I believe that it is recognized in every country that the principal duty of military attaches is to conduct intelligence activities, and as Vice Chief of the General Staff I was merely transmitting a routine matter as a matter of course.

Do you believe that it is their principal duty also to conduct subversive activities?

They may study how to carry out such plans, but I never admit for a moment that such plans would actually be carried out.

Q During the time you were Vice Chief of the General Staff, was there a man named KANDA, Masatane, serving under you?

A I do not remember.

Q Do you remember prosecution exhibit 698, which is a detailed series of instructions by him to a Major KASAHARA--

No, I do not. Λ

Being an outline of sabotage activities against Soviet Russia?

I do not know of such a document. There is no reason why the Vice Chief of the General Staff

should know of such petty affairs and instructions which were being sent to and from inferior members of the General Staff. Furthermore, since I have never sent such orders myself, naturally I have no recollection of them.

Q Was it part of the policy of the General Staff at that time that military officers should be posted in disguise at the consulates in Soviet Russia?

MR. BROOKS: I wish to object, your Honor, to the question. I think we are going farther and farther afield from the scope of the Indictment. I do not know how far back the prosecutor intends to go, but we are back in the period of 1927 and activities there. There are no charges there. I can't see how it could have any bearing in this case. I think that if we go back beyond 1927 we are going to get back into a history when there was really no government in China. One of the nations on the bench was not even represented at that time. The representative on the bench from China at that time was a revolutionist — I mean the head of the government was revolutionist in that priod. We are getting so far afield, that is why I made my first objection.

The matter of limiting the scope of this examination I think was seriously considered by the

4 5

ones who framed the Indictment from the period 1928 down to the latter period. If we go beyond that period, it is beyond the period of many of the treaties upon which this case is based, and it is a period in which there was much struggle going on in China and in those areas. It would take a great deal of explanation on the part of the defense to go into and meet any charges covered in that period.

I speak this way, Your Honor, not disrespectful to the Court, but in order to try to save time and to narrow the issues down to the scope of the Indictment.

THE PRESIDENT: The cross-examination is within the scope of the rule laid down by the Court.

The objection is overruled.

Spra & Melden

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q During the period when you were Vice Chief of the General Staff from 1927 to 1929 was it the policy of the General Staff that military officers disquised as officials of the Consulates should be stationed at the Consulates in Soviet Russia?

A No.

Q Was it a part of their policy that bandits in Manchuria should be enlisted to fight on the Japanese side against Soviet Russia?

A No.

Q Now we will come to the time when you became Commander in Chief of the Kwantung Army in December 1934 to March 1936. Had you under your command there local forces recruited in Manchuria as well as Japanese troops?

A No.

9 Were there such forces not under your command?

A No.

Q Do you mean to say that there were no non-Japanese forces in the area of what you call Manchukuo?

A Yes.

Q Under whose command were they?

THE INTERPRETER: The witness meant to say that there were no such forces. There were no non-Japanese forces in Manchuria.

Spra & Welden

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q During the period when you were Vice Chief of the General Staff from 1927 to 1929 was it the policy of the General Staff that military officers disquised as officials of the Consulates should be stationed at the Consulates in Soviet Russia?

A No.

Q Was it a part of their policy that bandits in Manchuria should be enlisted to fight on the Japanese side against Soviet Russia?

A No.

Q Now we will come to the time when you became Commander in Chief of the Kwantung Army in December 1934 to March 1936. Had you under your command there local forces recruited in Manchuria as well as Japanese troops?

A No.

Q Were there such forces not under your command?

A No.

Q Do you mean to say that there were no non-Japanese forces in the area of what you call Manchukuo?

A Yes.

Q Under whose command were they?

THE INTERPRETER: The witness meant to say that there were no such forces. There were no non-Japanese forces in Manchuria.

A There were none under my command.

Q Were there any there at all?

THE MONITOR: At the same time the witness just said, "Will you wait a moment?"

A I do not know. I commanded no forces other than Japanese troops as Commander of the Kwantung Army under the direct control of the Emperor. The Japanese commander had no troops of foreign countries under his command nor did he have anything to do with bandits.

Now would you mind answering the question?

Were there not local forces in Manchuria who were not

Japanese as well as the Japanese forces?

A There may have been but I do not recall. I was only commander of Japanese troops and any troops other than Japanese troops never entered my head at all.

On The witness Goette has told us that he saw non-Japanese troops marching out of the Manchukuo area and invading the demilitarized zone. Do you say there weren't any?

A I only wanted to say that there were no non-Japanese troops under my command.

Q Yes, but you know I asked whether there were some that weren't under your command?

A I do not know.

2

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

14

15 16

17

18

20

21

22

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

16

3

17

19

21

23

you and did what you told them?

A I have never resorted to such undercover activities. I was simply commander of the Japanese

nominally under your command or not they worked with

And I suggest to you that whether they were

CROSS

Q Were these other forces bandits?

troops and that is all I know about.

A I have repeatedly answered that they were not under my command; therefore I know nothing about them.

Q But if they were there in the same country as your troops do you mean us to believe that you didn't know who they were?

A If, in fact, I did not know of them I cannot reply anything except that I did not know.

Q The question I am putting to you is whether you really want us to believe that you didn't know?

THE PRESIDENT: You need not answer that.

Well, now, during the time you were in command there were there railways being constructed in Manchuria?

· A Railway construction was going on in some parts of the country. I do not remember exactly but I know that railroad construction was going on.

Q And did the railways that were being constructed lead to the Soviet border?

Yes. A

purposes?

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

And were they being constructed for strategic

Occasion for their use for such purposes A might conceivably have arisen; however, their principal objective was the opening up of Northern Manchuria.

Was the Kwantung Army building airfields in Manchuria while you were there?

I believe they constructed many air bases.

Against whom?

We built these air bases taking into consideration the possibility of a Soviet attack -- Airfields were constructed with such a possibility in view.

Q Was there number increased from five to fortythree?

I do not remember the exact number but I know that while I was there five or six bases were either completed or were nearing completion. I was in my post for a total of one year and three months. I believe during this period two or three were completed.

During the same period were seven fortified districts built?

I do not get the meaning of fortified districts.

Q Were not seven areas in Manchuria fortified during that period?

5

7

9

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

A Yes.

Q And were they being constructed for strategic purposes?

A Occasion for their use for such purposes might conceivably have arisen; however, their principal objective was the opening up of Northern Manchuria.

Q Was the Kwantung Army building airfields in Manchuria while you were there?

A I believe they constructed many air bases.

Q Against whom?

A We built these air bases taking into consideration the possibility of a Soviet attack -- Airfields were constructed with such a possibility in view.

Q Was there number increased from five to forty-three?

A I do not remember the exact number but I know that while I was there five or six bases were either completed or were nearing completion. I was in my post for a total of one year and three months. I believe during this period two or three were completed.

Q During the same period were seven fortified districts built?

A I do not get the meaning of fortified districts.

Q Were not seven areas in Manchuria fortified during that period?

A I do not remember exactly. I know that in North Manchuria construction work for defense purposes was carried on; however, I do not know if three such positions were built or five or seven.

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Q And was this in execution of -- I do not mean the fortified districts alone, but all that I have been putting, all that I have been asking you about -- in execution of a plan the general lines of which were laid down in March, 1931?

A In March, 1931, I was a member of the Supreme War Council and was in Tokyo. I had no connection with any such plan and, therefore, do not know whether such a plan existed or not.

Q Do you remember a man named TAKEBE, Rokuzo?

A Yes, I do remember him.

Q Was he the Chief or Deputy Chief of the .

General Affairs Department of the Manchurian Government while you were in command of the Kwantung Army?

A I believe he was either the Chief or the Deputy Chief.

Q And did you inform him during that period that the most important aim of the Japanese occupation of Manchuria was to build up a military base on the continent?

A No.

Q And that the base was directed chiefly against the UESR and China?

A No. I would like to add a word of explanation. My job in Manchuria was to assist the

9

5

7

5

10

11 12

13

14

17

16

18

21

22

20

23 24

25

establishment of a healthy, strong, independent state, and it is impossible even to conceive that I should have had such a view as you said in mind. Therefore, there is no reasn why I should state such views to an official of the Manchurian Government.

Q He was a Japanese, wasn't he?

A Yes, but he was an official of the Manchurian Government.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, those questions are based on exhibit 670.

Q Were not all of or most of the controlling posts in the Manchurian Government held by Japanese officials?

A That is a great mistake.

Q When you first arrived in Manchuria did you find the Manchurian Government engaged in setting up an oil monopoly in the country?

A I do not know.

Q Wasn't it, as I understood you to say just now, part of your job to establish a strong and healthy government in Manchuria?

A I know nothing about oil. I do not even remember about it.

Q Isn't that one of the matters in which you would control the Manchurian Government if it was

going on?

A If there was an oil monopoly that was being run by the Manchurian Government, I am convinced that the Kwantung Army had nothing to do with such affairs. You said just now -- in your question just now you spoke of controlling Manchuria, but I believe that is a great mistake.

THE MONITOR: You spoke of myself being in control of the Manchurian Government.

A (Continuing) Manchuria and Japan were on an equal footing. To assist in the development of a strong, healthy state simply meant to help it become such a state and to hope that it would become such a state, and it is very far from meaning to control it.

Q You were also Ambassador to Manchukuo, weren't you?

A Yes.

Q And didn't you know what they were doing?

A On the whole I believe I did know what they were doing, but I do not have any clear recollection on that point. There were many influential people in the Embassy and especially trained career diplomats from the Foreign Office; so on the whole I left matters in charge of them.

Q Were you as Ambassador in direct communication with the Foreign Office in Tokyo? Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn now until half-past one.

(Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was taken.)

oldberg & Kapleau

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

JIRO MINAMI, one of the accused, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese Interpreters as follows:

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. COMYNS CARR (Continued):

O General MINAMI, were you informed that the United States Government had several times protested to the Japanese Foreign Office about this oil monopoly in Manchuria?

A No, I do not. I would like to add one more word to the prosecutor: There was a slight mistake in the -- in one of the statements I made in the morning, so I would like to have the opportunity of correcting it.

o Certainly.

A The position of Chief of the General Affairs
Bureau of Manchuria was held by TAKEBE, Rokuzo during the incumbency of General UMEZU as commander
of the Kwantung Army. I would like to make a correction on this point. That is all.

O Wasn't he also in the General Affairs

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Bureau during your time?

A Yes, he was in it. He was in the Kwantung Affairs Bureau.

Now, then, did you say when you were interregated, exhibit 2207, page 3, prosecution document 1869, the last three questions --

A What is the question?

O "What did you have to do with the Government of Manchukuo at that time?"

MR. COMYNS CARR: Haven't you found it, Language Division, document 1869, the last three questions?

THE MONITOR: Just a moment, please. Evidently we do not have it, Mr. Comvns Carr. If it is not too long I think we can handle it.

O "What did you have to do with the Government of Manchukuo at that time?

"A Essentially I advised them on such matters as agriculture, transportation, education, et cetera."

A Yes, that is so.

Q The next question was: "And your advice was accepted, I suppose?

"A Yes."

A Yes, that is so.

3

.

18 19

20

21 22

23

And the last question was: "In fact, your advice, in substance, was a direction, was it not?

"A You might say so, yes."

A Yes. On that point a few words of explanation -- On the third point a few words of explanation are necessary. At that time the prosecutor's words were translated to me as "That would happen if the Manchurian Government did not follow your advice? In the final analysis would it not be equivalent to a direction?" to which I replied: "If it be taken in that way, I suppose it can't be helped."

Therefore, during my tenure of office I never once gave any kind of order to the l'anchurian Government.

o "as that because you knew they would have to accept your advice or you would give an order?

A I didn't know in what state of mind the Manchurian officials were, but as far as I myself was concerned, ever since my arrival in Manchuria I had the firm conviction that Manchuria and Japan were on an equal footing, and I never acted from the basis of a superiority complex.

Can you remember an occasion when they did not accept your advice?

A I do not.

4 5 6

3

8

7

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

2324

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, during your tenure of office, namely, in July of 1935, was there a new Manchurian-Japanese economic agreement put into effect: Yes. 0 Did you negotiate it Yes. MR. COMYNS CARR: Reference for this is exhibit 850, but it is not one of those I have given notice of. O Was there to be a joint committee consisting of four members from each country? A Yes. "ere the Japanese representatives to be the Chief of Staff of the Kwantung Army and three others? Yes. And was one of the four representatives of Manchukuo to be the Chief of General Affairs? I believe that was so. Q And was he always a Japanese? Yes. So that in effect, the Japanese had a per-

mament majority on the committee, hadn't they?

clearly. If it is in your hands, I should very much

Yes. I don't remember this agreement very

2

6 7

8

10 11

12 13

14 15

17 18

16

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

appreciate it if you would read it so that I could refresh my memory on this point.

I have summarized it. I will do so again. The committee shall consist of eight members, four from each country.

Yes, I remember that. That is as you say.

Yes. and the other part I referred to: Japan's perman t members shall be the Chief of Staff of the Kwantung Army, Chief Councillor in full service at the Japanese Embassy in Manchukuo.

MR. BROKS: If the Court please, could he give the witness a copy of it in Japanese if he wants him to explain anything about it? I don't believe the Clerk has it here either.

MR. COMYNS CARR: If the witness wants a copy in Japanese I will pass to another subject untill it has been procured.

THE WITNESS: Please.

MR. COMYNS CARR: All right, I will go on to another subject and go back to this when it is ready.

Your Honor, the two exhibits I was referring to about the American protests over the oil agreement were No. 939 and No. 965.

Q I just wanted to ask you this: You told us that the subjects on which you gave advice, as you call it, to the Manchukuo Government included agriculture, transportation, education, et cetera. Did they not also amongst the et cetera include commercial matters such as this question of an oil monopoly?

A I do not know. When I said "et cetera"

I meant communications and so forth. Concerning
the oil monopoly I have no recollection whatsoever.

Q But apart from recollection, did not they in fact include commercial matters generally?

A No.

Q No?

A Yes, no.

Q Which do you mean?

A I had -- the thought of commercial relations -problems never even entered my head; that is to say,
at that time the most important thing was still the
stabilization of Manchuria, and I was so busy with
that I had no time to even think of commercial
problems -- the stabilization and maintenance of peace.

Q With regard to military matters and diplomatic matters your control was absolute, was it not?

A As far as Japan was concerned.

5 6

1

2

3

8

10

11 12

> 13 14

> 15

17

18 19

20 21

22

Q What I mean is, Diln't you on behalf of Japan exercise absolute control over the military and diplomatic affairs of Manchukuo?

A Yes.

Q Yes, and wasn't it because the position was a little bit vague about commercial matters that this agreement -- new agreement of July, 1935 was negotiated by you?

Well. I would like to say a few words in regard to the state of affairs at that time. I was extremely afraid of Japanese -- influential leaders in Japanese financial circles entering Manchuria before peace and order had been completely restored. Therefore, I tried to put a brake on this. Because of this I was hated and disliked by commercial and financial leaders in Japan and also my stubbornness was made an object of laughter. Therefore, I was very vague on commercial matters and the first thought in my mind at the time was the establishment of peace and order and then the development of a healthy state.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Has 850 now arrived?

May the witness have the original Japanese?

(Whereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

THE MONITOR: May we have a copy too, please, Mr. Comyns Carr, the Language Section?

MR. COMYNS CARR: I hope so if there is a spare one.

Q General MINAMI, the document you have is the minutes of the Privy Council meeting of the 3rd of July, 1935, at which the agreement was approved and you will see the agreement itself set out in the middle -- not very far down in the document. Have you found the agreement itself?

THE INTERPRETER: Prior to that the witness said "Will you repeat the question, please?"

Q Have you found the text of the agreement which is set out in the minutes?

A I have found the agreement, but I cannot find the names as yet.

- Q What do you mean by the names?
- A The names of the Committee members.
- Q I am not asking you. The names are not set out, but you will find a list of the offices of them in the agreement under the heading "III. Understood Matters." Have you found it?

A Yes.

Q Well, now, read it to yourself, and if I put anything to which you don't agree with, tell us.

3

5

6

2

7 8

10

11

13

14 15

16

17

19 20

21

22 23

24

A I have understood. In regard to your previous question, one of the representatives of the Manchurian Government is the Chief of the General Affairs Board. He is a Manchurian official, but he is a Japanese.

Q Yes, and is one of the representatives of Japan Chief of Staff of the Kwantung Army?

A Yes.

Q Now, if you will look a little further on, you will see that the accused HIROTA as Foreign Minister was asked whether there was any danger of Japan not being able to control this committee as she wished, and this is what he said: "Three out of the four from Manchukuo are ministers and the remaining one is the Chief of General State Affairs who is and will be a Japanese forever, I am confident. Although he is an official of Manchukuo, he is the central organ assuming the leadership of that country."

A As you say.

Q I think you said a little while ago that your object was to establish a free and equal partnership between Japan and Manchukuo?

A Yes.

Q Is that your idea of a free and equal

7

.

10

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

partnership?

A Yes, it is, because although the Director of the General Affairs Board is a Japanese, he is an official of the Manchurian Government.

Therefore, I did not think of their race -- sending representatives of Japanese or of Manchurians or of Chinese, but I simply thought that the governments of the two countries, Manchukuo and Japan, should send four representatives each without regard to their race. The Director of the General Affairs Board receives no treatment as a Japanese official. He obeys the laws of Manchukuo as an official of the Manchukuo Government and represents Manchukuo.

Morse & volf

Q Do you disagree with HIROTA when he said, "He is an official of Monchokuo and simultaneously a leading instrument dispotched by Japan"?

A I believe that was a cause of anxiety for my colleague HI TOTA, on the part of my colleague HIROTA.

Q Vell, now, was Lie tenant General NISHIO your chief of saff?

A Yes.

Q On the 25th of October, 1935, did you authorize him to sees to the Vice-Minister of War exhibit 240 being the Plan for Controlling Organs directing Public Opinion in Manchuria?

A Yes, I did give him authority to do that.

Q Well, if it was to be a free and independent country who was it necessary for the Japanese Government to control organs directing public opinion?

A In connection with the healthy development of Manchukuc at the time the situation in the publishing world was extremely complicated with newspapers and journals owned by Japanese, Chinese -- especially by members of the Chinese race. I felt that such a situation, while it might have some advantages in the healthy development of Manchukuo there also were many disadvantages, and in my judgment the disadvantages

3

6

8

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

23 24

25

outweighed the advantages. Therefore, since the State of Manchukuo had just been born, I felt that the direction of public opinion was necessary.

Q Was the principal disadvantage that somebody might publish an article unfavorable to Japan?

A No. The commetition in the publishing world was extremely intense.

Q Well, now I want to ask you about the Kyowakai or Concordia & ciety. ere you a member of that?

A No.

Q Did y u hold any o fice in t?

A No.

Q Were you adviser to it?

A Someboly testified some while ago that I was an honorary adviser but I believe that was a mistake. I was bitterly opnosed to the Concordia Society and as soon as I assumed my nost as Commander of the Kwantung Army the Concordia Society, which had grown by leaps and bounds up to them, suddenly had to stop its activities. May I state my opinions on this point? I believe it would be of large relevance.

The reason for my opposition to the Concordia Society was that if such an association was established while the country was still very young and before local administrations had been firmly established,

various functions of the party would conflict with government policies while sale might even be considered government spies. Therefore, I beli we that among the natives at large there were some who regarded its members as spies. That is to say this system would inaugurate a system of one party -- one country, one party. Therefore, I was opposed to the Concordia Association.

Q I am now going to ask you about exhibit 731A which is a book issued by the society in celebration of its ten year jubilee. Is it true as there stated that the society was founded by ITAGAKI in April, 1932?

A I do not know.

Q Is it true that, as there stated, that at the time when you arrived to command the Kwantung army certain circles were of the opinion that the Kyowakai was by nature a temporary organization?

A As I have stated before, I was opposed to the Concordia Society from the first so none of these things have remained in my head very clearly. Actually the members of the Concordia Association were in dire straights.

Q Is it true, as stated in the book, that in order to despel this wrong opinion you, General

NISHIO and a number of other representatives of higher authorities issued special directives concerning the intrinsic nature of the Kyowakai?

A I cannot understand your question. I do not know what is meant by a declaration.

Q I did not use the word "declaration." Did you, amongst others, while you were commander in chief, issue special directives about the Kyowakai?

A : o, as fer as I can remember I did not.

Q And is it true that the object of those was to show that the Kyowakai was to go on and flourish?

A That is completely contrary to the facts.

As I have said, I was opposed to the Concordia Society.

Therefore, at least during my tenure of office, it was not active.

I see. I am pointing out to you, General MINAMI, that in 1941 or '42 the directors of the Society issued a book in which they said the exact opposite and I am asking you whether they aren't right?

A What I have said just now is true. It is the truth as far as it concerns myself, so I believe that what they said was a mistake.

Q Well now, I will come to a question of relations with China during your tenure of office as Commander-in-Chief of the Kwantung army. In your affidavit at the bottom of page 12 you say that "in January 1935 Foreign Minister HIROTA declared in the Diet the policy of amity towards China, and on February 3rd replies thereto came from General Chiang Kai-shek" and others, "thus bringing about mutual understanding between China and Japan"; and you go on: "This was followed by the satisfactory conclusion of various agreements between Manchukuo and China relating to customs." Now, I have to suggest to you that all that is untrue. There were not agreements between Manchukuo and China relating to customs on postal and telegraphic matters.

A Is that a question?

Q Yes, I am putting that to you and asking you

4 5

whether you wish to revise your answer -- your 1 statement? 2 A No, I do not change -- I do not change my 3 opinion. It is as it is written in my affidavit. 4 Is it not a fact that there were agreements between the Kwantung army and local Chinese 6 authorities on these subjects and not between 7 either Manchukuo or China? A My thought that Manchukuo and China had 9 concluded these agreements. 10 11 Q Are you prepared to accept it that you are 12 mistaken? A I believe that my affidavit is true and 14 correct and I continue to believe in it. Q And is it not a fact that these agreements were brought about by pressure from the Kwantung army? I do not believe so. Q And were they not made in 1934, before the statement of HIROTA which you say led to this amity? THE MONITOR: The question to the prosecutor from the witness, sir: "What was the year?" MR. COMYNS CARR: Is the witness asking

25

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

what was the year?

THE MONITOR: Yes.

Q (Continuing) I am suggesting to you that all these agreements were made in 1934, before the statement of HIROTA which you say was the cause of them.

A 1934 is before I assumed my post in Manchuria; therefore, I know nothing about it.

Q Yes; but you do know that it isn't true that they were made in 1935 as you have said, don't you?

A I do not remember this point well, but that is how I understood it.

Now, at the bottom of page 13 of your affidavit you say that you frequently gave stern instructions to the Kwantung army not to meddle in politics or do anything injurious to the prestige of Manchukuo.

A Yes.

Q Why was it necessary to do that? Did they frequently disobey your instructions?

A These instructions, I believe, were given to the army on the occasion of the outbreak of some kind of incident, but I am not -- I do not at present recall what that particular incident was.

Q But why was it necessary to give them frequently?

A I gave them instructions whenever such things happened.

Q That is to say, if you ever gave such instructions they did disobey them; did they not?

A On the whole, of course, they did obey my instructions, but since it was a large group of people there was some who did not, and every time any such incident occurred I would repeat the instructions. That is what I meant -- any time I heard of any such incident.

Q Do you, as a General Commanding, when your orders have been disobeyed simply repeat the orders or do you punish the person who is disobedient?

A Sometimes I would punish them, sometimes I would repeat the instructions.

Now, you go on to say that you abolished the Special Service Department of the army. Why did you do that?

A Already the formal independent state of Manchukuo had been established. If the Special Service Organ were to be continued I feared that the Manchurian Government or the Manchurian people might think that, with the army as a background, we were trying to take some steps exceeding our proper authority, and it is for this reason that I

-

abolished the Special Service Department. The greatest reason for the abclishing of the Special Service Department was that I wished to put the Manchurian Government in a position whereby it would be free to conduct its o m internal affairs.

Q Who was at the head of the Special Service Department; was it DOHIHARA?

DOWIHARA was attached to the staff of the Kwantung army.

Q Was he controlling the Special Service Department before you abolished it?

DOHI'ARA as a Special Service agent was engaged in intelligence activities.

Q Was he also engaged in controlling the activities of the Special Service Department with regard to the opium traffic?

A No. DOHIHARA had nothing to do whatever with problems such as opium.

24

Had the Special Service Department anything r to do with them? e n I do not know. 3 0 Wasn't the real object of abolishing the r Special Service Department that you found that g they were running the opium traffic for their per-& B sonel benefit instead of for the benefit of the a Government of Manchukuo, and you wanted the Tatter t to have the profits? 0 n That may have been one of the reasons; 10 but, as I said before, my principa7 reason was to 11 enable the Manchurian Government to be free to con-12 duct its own administration -- the administration 13 of its own affairs. 14 When the Manchurian Government took over 15 the opium traffic, was that not one of its principal 16 sources of revenue? 17 I believe that was so. 13 Now, at the top of page 74 of your affidavit 19 20 you say, "During my tenure of office there was never 21 a case of Japanese troops crossing the border." 22 That is so. 23 In your interrogation, exhibit 2207, page 24 3, in the middle, you said this:

"Q Your army occupied practicelly all of

Manchukuo, did it not?

"A Yes.

"Q And some of your troops went beyond the Great Wall.

"A Yes."

Which of those statements is true?

- A They are both true.
- Q Wasn't the Great Wall the border?
- A Yes.

Q And, if they went beyond the Great Wall, they crossed the border, did they not?

A The explanation of this is as follows:
They often -- once in a while the bandits would cross the Great Wall and create disturbances among the inhabitants of Jehol. On these occasions a portion of the army might have crossed the Great Wall in order to mop up these bandits. My determination not to let the Kwantung Army cross the Great Wall was a very firm one. I gave repeated instructions to my troops on this point, and I actually carried it out in effect also.

If you will give me just ten minutes, I will be able to explain conditions at the time.

May I?

Q I cannot stop you.

8

2

3

6

7

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

A If troops from Manchuria -- from the north side of the Great Wall should cross into North China, that would be a violation of the border. Concerning this, I have two basic reasons.

I may have talked of this on Friday, or it may have been yesterday; but, when the commander of the Japanese Army in Korea ordered his army to cross the border into Manchuria on his own, arbitrary decision, I felt that that was entirely wrong. At the time, General HAYASHI was severely reprimended by the Emperor which was a matter for great awe for all of us, so that I felt from that time that the authority to cross any particular border emanates only from the Emperor and should not be indulged in lightly.

My second reason was that, in the Manchurian Incident, I had a very unfortunate experience. This unfortunate experience taught me how
difficult it was to maintain an understanding between central army authorities and army authorities
on the spot. Therefore, upon my assumption of the
post of Commander-in-Chief of the Kwantung Army, I
took a very careful attitude and always took care
to determine the attitude of the government toward
any given step. Therefore, I attended cabinet

meetings -- full dress cabinet meetings at which Premier OKADA and all the cabinet members were present and carefully ascertained the policies of the government.

The government's policy was to support the independence of Menchukuo and to assist its healthy development. Therefore, my greatest policy as Commender-in-Chief of the Kwantung Army, and also as Ambassador to Manchukuo, was the maintenance of peace and order in Manchuria. There are two ways in which peace and order can be maintained in Manchuria: Never to start any troubles -- any friction between the Soviet Union on the northern borders, and, to the south, never to start an incident with China. Therefore, the despatching of troops outside the border was strictly prohibited -- troops of the Kwantung Army outside the border was strictly prohibited.

THE PRESIDENT: Is this counsel of perfection required? The question is what he did.

THE WITNESS: Is that question directed to me, sir?

THE PRESIDENT: To the learned counsel cross-examining you.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Well, your Honor, I did

4 5

1

2

3

7 8

6

10

11

13

15

14

17

16

18

20

22

meetings -- full dress cabinet meetings at which Premier OKADA and all the cabinet members were present and carefully ascertained the policies of the government.

The government's policy was to support the independence of Manchukuo and to assist its healthy development. Therefore, my greatest policy as Commander-in-Chief of the Kwantung Army, and also as Ambassador to Manchukuo, was the maintenance of peace and order in Manchukuo, was the maintenance of peace and order in Manchukuo. There are two ways in which peace and order can be maintained in Manchuria: Never to start any troubles -- any friction between the Soviet Union on the northern borders, and, to the south, never to start an incident with Chima. Therefore, the despatching of troops outside the border was strictly prohibited -- troops of the Kwantung Army outside the border was strictly prohibited.

THE PRESIDENT: Is this council of perfection required? The question is what he did.

THE WITNESS: Is that question directed to me, sir?

THE PRESIDENT: To the learned counsel cross-examining you.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Well, your Honor, I did

not went it to be thought that I was stopping him from saying anything he wanted to say.

THE PRESIDENT: We know what we need. We want to be given the facts.

BY MR. COMYNS CARR (Continued):

Q What we want to know, as the President has told you, is why did your troops cross the Great Wall?

A Only a portion of that army crossed the border in order to mop up the bandits.

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceed-ings were resumed as follows:)

not went it to be thought that I was stopping him from saying anything he wanted to say.

THE PRESTDENT: We know what we need. We went to be given the facts.

BY MR. COMYNS CARR (Continued):

Q What we want to know, as the President has told you, is why did your troops cross the Great Wall?

A Only a portion of that army crossed the border in order to mop up the bandits.

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceed-ings were resumed as follows:)

Duda & Whales

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

BY MR. COMYNS CARR (Continuing):

Q General MINAM1, in the middle of page 14 of your affidavit you say: "During the same month--" that was June 1935 "in order to assure peace along the China-manchoukuo border, the so-called 'UMEZU-HO Yin-chin, 'I Ol'HARA-CHENG Te-chun' and other agreements were conclused. With regard to these agreements I received reports that they were concluded in an exceedingly friendly atmosphere.'

As a matter of fact was the HO-UMEZU agreement concluded as the result of an ultimatum with a fixed date?

A That is something that belongs to the jurisdiction of th China Expeditionary Forces and is outside my own jurisdiction, so that I do not know how things went. However, it was not a matter in which the Commander-in-Chief of the Kwantung army could interfere.

Q Was not the threat in the ultimatum that if Japan's demands were not accepted immediately as a whole you would invade China with the Kwantung Army?

A As I have just stated I know nothing about

what kind of negotiations were condusted as this was entirely outside my own jurisdiction. However, I can say definitely that concerning the last point in your question that the Kwantung 'cmy would invade China, there is not an iota of truth in that -- that the Kwantung Army with its entire strength would invade China.

Q Do you mean that only part of it was going to invade China?

A No.

Q Were not the other Japanese forces in China placed under your command for the purpose of this threatened invasion?

A That is a complete misstatement.

Q If what I have suggested is true, you would hardly call that concluding an agreement in an exceedingly friendly atmosphere, would you?

A I received a report that it was concluded in an extremely friendly atmosphere.

Q From whom did you get that report?

A DOHILLRA sent word that the negotiations at Peking were proceeding extremely successfully -- a very friendly atmosphere.

Q DOHIHARA told you that, did you say?

A DOMIHARA went to Peking and told me of the

9,

2

3

5

7

8

11

12

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

talk he had there with Clent Te-chun. The North China garrison forces were under the direct control of the Emperor. I, also, was under the direct control of the Emperor. The jurisdiction of our two armies was entirely separate. Without a special order from the Emperor the two armies could not conduct a common conspiracy.

Is it true that the agreement was the result of a demand with an ult mat n and time limit?

As I h ve been saying for the past few minutes, I received no reports whatsoever from details such as those. It was outside my jurisdiction.

Did you ever see the alleged agreement itself?

A No.

Do you know if there ever was a written agreement?

A Nc .

N w, I shall have, in view of your answers, to refer to prosecution exhibit 2206, which is a collection of newspaper reports gathered by the Japanese Foreign Office for the information of itself and copies sent to the Chinese Embassy -- to the Japanese Embassy in China.

Is it true that the Japanero Foreign Office 25 stated on the 3 st of May that if the demands made upon the Chinase authorities in North China were not

9

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

23

accepted, Japan would probably occupy the demilitarized zone?

A I do not know.

Q You were Ambassador to Manchukuo?

A Yes.

Q And the demilitarized zone was the territory just on the other side of your boundary, wasn't it?

A Yes, that is so.

CROSS

Q And do you mean to say that you were not kept informed of a matter like that?

I had a secretary at the embassy who was very influential and who was well versed in English. This secretary is a relative of the present Premier. He would always translate English reports and hand them to me. I often saw reports by foreign correspondents stationed in Peking, Shanghai, Tientsin, Hsinking, Mukden and Dairen. Therefore, I was unable to place any confidence whatsoever in these reports, because they were all suppositions. As I have stated beforehand, my firm conviction was that no Japanese troops should be permitted to cross the border. Therefore, I believe that all these reports were groundless rumors and suppositions based on facts. I felt at the time that later events would prove that these correspondents were mistaken when they would take up any small, insignificant incident and say that there was grave possibility that that incident would develop into a second Manchurian Incident. That is all.

Upon China, beginning with the removal of General
Yu Hsueh-Chung, the Governor of Hopei Province?

A The Kwantung Army never made any such demands.

Q Lo you know whether the North China Army made

3

1

2

6

8

7

9

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

23 24

such demands?

I heard of rumors, but I know nothing of what actually took place.

That was under the command, was it not, of your fellow accused, UMEZU?

A At one time it was under UMEZU's command. At another time it was under the command of his successor, General TADA.

Q On the first of June, 1935, was it under UMEZU's command?

A I believe it was under UMEZU's command in June.

Q On or about that day, was General HAYASHI the Minister of War in Mukden -- I mean, in Hsinking?

Yes.

And did General UMEZU come there, too, on the same day?

UMEZU came after the 29th of May on the invitation of the Minister of War.

Q And did the three of you hold a conference?

A No.

Were you not all three together there at the same time?

A Yes.

Q And weren't you there for the express purpose of discussing these demands that were to be made upon

17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

the Chinese and what should be done if they were not complied with?

A No, that is a question that completely misses the mark. UMEZU came to Hsinking on May 29 on the invitation of the War Minister, and there he had a talk with the War Minister in which he reported on conditions in the area under his command; that is to say, North China. And I wasn't there, myself.

After this conference was over, all he did was to come to me to say his greetings. What he told me there was that he had come to Hsinking on receiving a telegram from the War Minister, that he had reported to the War Minister on routine business matters, and that he was returning to Peking.

I said, "You are leaving awfully early. Why don't you stay at least for dinner?" But he returned the same day -- returned immediately, saying that he would go home.

Q In the first week of June, was all home leave of the Japanese Army stopped?

- A This also does not come under my jurisdiction.
- Q Do you know that it happened?
- A It is not under my jurisdiction.
- Q Now answer the question.
- A I am answering the question.

1 2 3

4 5

7 8

9

10

11

13

14

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

Q Do you know that it happened?

A No.

Q On or about the 6th of June, was there a Japanese brigade stationed in the demilitarized zone northeast of Peking?

A I do not know. It is something that concerns foreign countries.

Q What do you mean, "foreign countries"?

A Foreign countries from the point of view of Manchuria.

Q It is just outside what you say was your border, wasn't it?

A Yes.

Q And on or about the 6th of June, did General HAYASHI inform you that if the Chinese didn't accept the ultimatum all the forces, both of the North China Army as well as your own, would be placed under your command?

A No.

Q On or about the 8th of June was June 11 fixed as the day by which the terms had got to be complied with?

A The reason for HAYASHI's -- you completely misunderstand the reason for HAYASHI's arrival, coming to Manchuria. He came to Manchuria in order to inspect

12 13

1

2

3

7

9

10

11

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

25 24

conditions in Manchukuo, and he did not touch the subject you mentioned in his talks with me at all. As I said, and as you have said, there were all kinds of communications. There were also rumors such as the one which you have just questioned me on. But they are all groundless rumors based on mistaken suppositions, and are unworthy of notice.

Q Did you know Major General ISOGAI, Rensuke?
A I do.

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	Q	Tas	he	at	this	date	the	military	attache	to
the	Japanese		embassy in		China?					

A I do not know. I think he was in Shanghai at the time.

Q Did he say on the 7th of June we, that is the Japanese, seek a solution of all Sino-Jap problems including that of the puppet regime? By the latter phrase I mean Chinese recognition of the new empire.

A I did not hear anything about that. There may have been newspaper reports on this; that is to say, newspapers dealing in rumors.

Q On the same day did General UMEZU make a public statement at the conclusion of discussions with the Chinese that future Japanese action depends upon the sincerity of the Chinese in dealing with the Japanese requests?

A I received no report concerning this supposed speech.

Q Was Colonel SAKAI the chief of staff of the Japanese garrison at Tientsin?

THE MONITOR: Mr. Comyns Carr, is this Lieutenant Colonel or full Colonel?

MR. COMYNS CARR: I have only got it "Colonel."

A I believe it was Colonel SAKAI that was chief of staff at that garrison.

MINAMI

3

5

6

7

9

11

12

13

15

16

17 18

19

20

22

23 24

25

Q Did he state on Sunday, the 9th of June, that the ultimatum would be delivered on the next day and must be answered by Tuesday?

A No, I did not hear of any such thing.

Q And on the Monday, the 10th of June, did the Chinese give in and agree to all the demands?

A I do not know a single thing about small details such as that; however, I only received a report that the Ho Yin-chin agreement had been concluded -- the UMEZU-Ho Yin-chin agreement.

Q Tell me, do you regard an ultimatum with a time limit with a threat of invasion as a small detail?

A Yes, I think it is too silly.

Q You do?

A Yes.

Q In consequence of the agreement did the Chinese evacuate North China of its troops?

A I did hear that they did all evacuate; however, how and in what manner they evacuated is something outside my jurisdiction and I know nothing about it.

Q Were you seeing the Japanese newspapers when you were in Manchuria?

A Sometimes I did; sometimes I didn't. On the whole I think I more or less passed my eyes through it.

Q Did you notice they claimed that this was a

complete capitulation to Japan's requirements in North China?

A No, I did not notice that. These reports were all entirely different to our own ideas so I didn't even bother to let them remain in my head.

- Q The demands made upon China were pretty drastic, weren't they?
 - A Demands made by whom?
 - Q By Japan.
 - A By whom of Japan?

THE MONITOR: Mr. Comyns Carr, the witness replied: "By whom of Japan?"

MR. COMYNS CARR: By the Japanese Foreign Office and the Japanese Army in North China.

- A I know nothing of the details of this.
- Q Would you expect a foreign country to demand that you should remove the governor of a province and the mayor of one of your principal cities and that you should abolish one of your government organizations and move your troops out of part of your own territory? Can you imagine any country acceding to such demands except under extreme pressure?

A Since I am being asked about all sorts of things which I myself never did or had anything to do with there is no way in which I can give you a reply.

2

5

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

But you have taken it upon yourself to say 1 that all this was done in an exceedingly friendly 2 atmosphere? 3 That is why I said I received a report to that effect. 5 Did you believe it? 6 Yes. 7 Now, on the top of page 15 of your affidavit 8 you refer to Prince Teh of Inner Mongolia, on the 2d 9 of June 1935 or shortly before that date. Do you know 10 that a Japanese airplane landed at Panchiang in his 12 territory? THE MONITOR: Mr. Comyns Carr, will you kindly spell out that place, please? 14 MR. COMYNS CARR: The name of the place? 15 THE MONITOR: Yes, sir. MR. COMYNS CARR: P-a-n-c-h-i-a-n-g. I do not remember the exact date but I do remember that one or two members of the staff of the 19 Kwantung Army went there for liaison purposes. Yes, did you send them? 22 A Yes. Did they when they got there demand that you

should be allowed to build an airdrome at that place?

I did not give any such orders.

And establish a branch of the Special Service Q

11

13

16

18

17

20

21

23

24

Department there?

A I id say when I sent these officers that it would be a good thing to establish a liaison agency there.

Q Did you also instruct them to demand that he should move its capital 100 miles away?

A No.

Q Who were these officers?

A As far as I recember there was a section chief by the name of lieutenant Colonel IS IMOTO, Torazo. It may have then TANAKA, Ryukichi; I have no clear recollection or that point. My purpose in sending them was simply to observe conditions on the spot there.

Q Didn't you tell me just now that you had told them to suggest that they should build an airdrome there?

A No, I didn't.

Q No, in November 1935 you say this, the bottom of page 15 of your affidavit: "I heard that the formation of the opei-Chahar regime toward the end of the same year was at the initiative of the Chinese government." Who told you that one?

A I believe it was in a report; from DOHIHARA.

Q Did you send DCHIHARA to Forth China to establish this regime?

A I did not give DOHIHARA such a difficult mission.

5

2

3

4

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23 24

A DOHIHARA was an expert on China, could speak the Chinese language and was a man of very mild character. Therefore I sent him to Peking to talk with General Sung Che-yuan and to see whether some means for peaceful relations between Japan and China could not be found. He also -- DOHIHARA also had many friends among the Chinese. At the time various rumors were circulated to the effect that I had some underground plan in mind but I wish to firmly state

here that there is no truth in such rumors.

Q Do y u know that DOHIHAR, showed his friendliness to the Chinese by ann juncing on the 18th of November, 1935, that if an autonomy for the North was not
proclaimed five Japanese divisions were going into
Hopei and six into Shangtung?

A I have heard of this for the first time today from the prosecutor. Not only was it completely false, but such a thing would be completely contrary to my mission, would be completely contrary to DOHIHARA's mission.

Q Did you know that he fixed noon on November 21 as the time limit for acceptance of these terms?

A No.

Q Did you send troops on or about the 20th of November to Shan-Hai-Kwan on the Creat Wall to back him up?

A That is utterly groundless.

Q And under those threats did the Chinese give way and was the autonomous council established for North China? I should have said for Eastern Hepei and Hopei-Chahar.

A No, no such thing happened.

Q It was established, wasn't it?

A We had nothing to do with it. The East
Hepei regime was set up by the Chinese themselves

and I had nothing to do with it whatsoever, and we had nothing to do with it whatsoever. Now, you told me General NISHIO was 3 your Chief of Staff? A Yes. Q I now went to refer to exhibit 195. On the 6 9th of December, 1935, immediately after the establish-7 ment of this so-called autonomous regime, did NISHIO 8 issue a document with your authority about the propa-9 ganda in North China? 10 A I believe he did. 11 Q And did he send it to FURUSHO, the Vice 12 Minister of War in Tokyo? 13 A Yes, I have heard that that is so. 14 MR. COMYNS CARR: That is prosecution docu-15 ment 1242, and I wish to read certain passages to the 17 witness. THE MONITOR: Mr. Comyns Carr, is that going 18 to be very long or just the first paragraph? 19 MR. COMYNS CARR: The first unnumbered one 20 and then the ones numbered 3 and 5 and over the page 7, 21 22 and then 1 and 2 under heading III. THE MONITOR: Thank you very much, sir.

23 24

25

"Kwantung Army's Propaganda Plan Which Shall

MR. COMYNS CARR (Reading):

Be Carried Out in Parallel with its Military Activity in North China.

"I. General Principle.

"We start our propaganda to convince the whole world of our lawfulness, as soon as the advancement of the Kwantung Army into China Proper takes place. We shall launch out on a movement to estrange the inhabitants of North China from the central government, by fermenting anti-Kumingtung and anti-communism agitation among them. As for the Chinese people and army of the rest of China, we shall take a measure to form an anti-war atmosphere."

And then in Section II, paragraph 3:

Government to form an anti-communist front with the
North China independent government, for it may be
considered the first ray of hope for the establishment of the lasting peace in the Orient by the harmonious
cooperation among Japan, China, and Manchuria. We,
therefore, shall assume a definite attitude to support
wholeheartedly the establishment and development of
the independent government in North China."

Paragraph 5:

"It must be made clear that when we do dispatch our military force to China some time in the future, we

2

4

5

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25 do it for the purpose of punishing the Chinese military clique, and not the Chinese people at large."

Paragraph 7:

"As for the Chinese forces, we will take a measure to promote antagonism between them and to increase their admiration for the strength of the Japanese military power, thus depriving their fighting spirit."

And Section III, "Execution Program.

"1. Propaganda shall be planned and carried out by the Army staff. The special service facilities in China and Inner Mongolia and also the expeditionary forces there shall also perform the duty.

"2. Prior to the advance of our military forces into China Preper, this propaganda shall be launched, chiefly to support from the side, the propaganda of the Japanese government and the Japanese forces stationed in China. After the advance of our forces into China Proper, it shall be performed so as to facilitate our military activities."

That is all I propose to read.

Q Now, General MINAMI, having been reminded of the document issued by your Chief of Staff with your authority, do you still say that during all the time you were in command of the Kwantung Army you were doing nothing hostile to the Chinese Government?

A Yes, I do.

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until half-past nine tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Wednesday, 16 April 1947, at 0930.)

